

*This document is a translation only of the main text body of the original and only for convenience. It is in no way a substitution of the original in order to be fully informed. The German original is prevailing.*

Hello,

As a shareholder of Lufthansa AG I would like to table two opposing motions.

Agenda item 3

The Executive Board members should not be exonerated.

Grounds:

I do not intend to comment further on the poor share performance last year, which speaks volumes and would be sufficient justification in itself.

I am sorry to note that this year again no free travel ticket for Hamburg public transport was included with the entrance ticket. At the last AGM there were complaints about this from other shareholders too. The Executive Board has apparently taken no action and I must assume that the Executive Board has forgotten or ignored the will of the shareholders!

It is clearly ecological to use buses and trains and this should not only be acknowledged by a global Hamburg-based group like BDF, but also by Lufthansa AG. It should also be noted that the next AGM will take place in Hanover. A town which forces car drivers to obtain an exhaust emissions permit before arriving!

I hope the Executive Board is not traumatised by the increased use of public transport and can afford the €2-3,000 that then go to the competition.

Agenda item 8

Election of auditors

I propose that

Ernst & Young GmbH Wirtschaftsprüfungsgesellschaft  
Flughafenstraße 61  
70629 Stuttgart  
Postfach 311620  
70476 Stuttgart

be elected as auditors.

Grounds:

EY is one of the biggest auditing firms in the world and has an excellent reputation. Lufthansa AG is well advised not only to follow the German Corporate Governance Code when it becomes mandatory to change auditors every four years, but to look ahead and take the lead here too.

As far as I can tell, PwC has audited the Company's financial statements since 2003, or even longer. The advantage of a good working relationship and knowledge of the company being audited ... same procedure as every year... is understandable from the auditors' perspective, but this should then be reflected in much lower audit fees.

This is offset by the risk of sleaze and routine, which have no advantages for shareholders.

Working with a new service provider often also brings in new ideas and perspectives, which would be to the benefit of our Company.

However, as a simple justification the address of EY in Stuttgart would suffice. It clearly shows they have an affinity with our industry!^^

I ask my fellow shareholders to support my proposals.

Best regards,  
M.Schedler